Coulomb Blockade Resonances in Quantum Wires
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The conductance through a quantum wire of cylindrical cross section and a weak bulge is solved exactly for two electrons within the Landauer-Büttiker formalism. We show that this 'open' quantum dot exhibits spin-dependent Coulomb blockade resonances resulting in two anomalous structure on the rising edge to the first conductance plateau, one near 0.25(2e\(^2\)/h), related to a singlet resonance, and one near 0.7(2e\(^2\)/h), related to a triplet resonance. These resonances are generic and robust, occurring for other types of quantum wire and surviving to temperatures of a few degrees.

PACS numbers: 72.10.-d, 73.23.-b, 85.30.Vw, 73.23.Ad

Recent technological advances have enabled semiconductor quantum wires to be fabricated with effective wire widths down to a few nanometers; for example, by heteroepitaxial growth on \textsuperscript{c}-groove surfaces\textsuperscript{1}, epitaxial growth on ridges\textsuperscript{2}, cleaved edge over-growth\textsuperscript{3}, etched wires with gating\textsuperscript{4}, and gated two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) structures in various ways, including conductance suppression in a Luttinger liquid with repulsive interaction and spin-polarized subbands\textsuperscript{10}. In some of these various types of quantum wire, following the pioneering work in Refs.\textsuperscript{5,6}, whilst these experiments are broadly consistent with a simple non-interacting picture, there are certain anomalies, some of which are believed to be related to electron-electron interactions and appear to be spin-dependent. In particular, a structure is seen in the rising edge of the conductance curve, starting at around 0.7(2e\(^2\)/h) and merging with the first conductance plateau with increasing energy\textsuperscript{7}. This structure, already visible in the early experiments\textsuperscript{5}, can survive to temperatures of a few degrees and also persists under low-threshold lasers and single-electron devices.

Many groups have now observed conductance steps in all of these various types of quantum wire, following the pioneering work in Refs.\textsuperscript{5,6}. Whilst these experiments are consistent with a simple non-interacting picture, there are certain anomalies, some of which are believed to be related to electron-electron interactions and appear to be spin-dependent. In particular, a structure is seen in the rising edge of the conductance curve, starting at around 0.7(2e\(^2\)/h) and merging with the first conductance plateau with increasing energy\textsuperscript{7}. This structure, already visible in the early experiments\textsuperscript{5}, can survive to temperatures of a few degrees and also persists under low-threshold lasers and single-electron devices.

In this letter, we suggest that these anomalies are related to weakly bound states and resonant bound states within the wire. These would arise, for example, if there is a small fluctuation in thickness of the wire in some region giving rise to a weak bulge. If this bulge is very weak then only a single electron will be bound. We may thus regard this system as an ‘open’ quantum dot in which the bound electron inhibits the transport of conduction electrons via the Coulomb interaction. Near the conduction threshold, there will be a Coulomb blockade and we show below that this also gives rise to a resonance, analogous to that which occurs in the single-electron transistor\textsuperscript{12}. This is a generic effect arising from an electron bound in some region of the wire and such binding may arise from a number of sources, which we do not consider explicitly. For example, in addition to a weak thickness fluctuation, a smooth variation in confining potential due to remote gates, contacts and depletion regions could contribute to electron confinement along the wire or gated 2DEG. In this letter we consider only very weak confinement near the conductance threshold for which a single electron is bound.

Consider a quantum wire of circular symmetry about the z-axis with constant potential, V(\(r, z\)) = 0 within a boundary \(r_0(z)\) from the symmetry axis and confining potential \(V_0 > 0\) elsewhere. To be definite, we choose parameters appropriate to GaAs for the wire and Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1−x}\)As for the barrier with \(x\) such that \(V_0 = 0.4\) eV, which is close to the crossover to indirect gap. Band non-parabolicity is neglected and we use the GaAs effective mass, \(m^* = 0.067m_0\), neglecting its variation across the boundary. The wire width is taken as \(r_0(z) = \frac{1}{2}a_0(1 + \xi \cos2\pi z/a_1)\) for \(|z| \leq \frac{1}{4}a_1\) and \(r_0(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2}a_0\) otherwise, i.e., a wire of width \(a_0\) with a single bulge of length \(a_1\) and width \((1 + \xi)a_0\), as shown in Fig. 1 (inset).
When the wire is connected to metallic source-drain contacts, electrons will flow into the wire region as the Fermi energy is raised from below the conduction band edge via a gate (not considered explicitly). At least one electron will then become bound in the bulge region of the wire. The number of bound electrons depends on both the Fermi energy and the relative size of the bulge (i.e. parameters $a_1$ and $\xi$). We first consider the non-interacting electron problem for unbound (scattering) states. As shown in Fig. 1 for a two-dimensional wire, this problem may be reduced to a quasi one-dimensional problem by expanding in transverse modes. The Schrödinger equation then reduces to an $N$-component differential equation which is solved for the scattering states. The number of channels, $N$, is chosen to be sufficiently large to give convergence and depends upon the Fermi energy in the leads and the dot parameter $\xi$. For $\xi$ large many channels are needed since the rapid change in wire thickness gives rise to large inter-channel mixing. From the solution of the scattering problem, the conductance is calculated from the usual Landauer-Büttiker formula \[ G = G_0 \frac{T(E)}{1 + \xi \cos^2 \pi z/a_1}. \]

When the energy $E$ is measured from the bottom of the lowest transverse channel. Inset: geometry of ‘open quantum dot’ systems, physically different cases merely modifying the effective single-electron energy $\epsilon_i$, the length parameter $d_{ij}$ and the screening length $\rho$. The only restriction is that the deviation from a perfectly straight wire be sufficiently small to ensure validity of the lowest channel approximation.

We now consider the interacting two-electron problem in which one electron is bound in the quantum dot region, with energy $E_0$. The problem considered here is analogous to treating the collision of an electron with a hydrogen atom as, e.g. in Ref. [16]. It should be noted that the existence of a single-electron bound state is guaranteed in one-dimension, at least for symmetric wells in the $z$-direction, and in this sense is a universal feature. With the chosen parameter range, a second electron cannot be bound due to Coulomb repulsion. We solve the two-electron scattering problem exactly subject to the boundary condition that the asymptotic states consist of one bound electron in the ground state and one free electron. From these solutions we compute the conductance using again a Landauer-Büttiker formula which, incorporating the results of spin-dependent scattering [16], takes the following form in zero magnetic field,

\[ G = G_0 \left[ \frac{1}{4} T_s(E) + \frac{3}{4} T_t(E) \right], \]

where the subscripts $s$ and $t$ refer to singlet and triplet configurations respectively. In Figure 2 we show plots at excellent approximation. Within the single-channel approximation the ‘few’-electron (two-electron here) problem may be reduced to an extended Hubbard model model by discretizing the single-channel Schrödinger equation on a finite-difference mesh in the $z$-direction,

\[ H = -t \sum \epsilon_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i+1\sigma} + \sum_{i} \epsilon_i n_i + \sum_{i \neq j} U_{ij} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} n_{i\uparrow} n_{j\downarrow}, \]

where $c_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron with spin $\sigma$ at the $z = z_i$ in the lowest transverse channel; $n_i = \sum_\sigma n_{i\sigma}$ with $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$; $t = \hbar^2/(2m^* \Delta^2)$, where $\Delta = z_{i+1} - z_i$; $\epsilon_i = \hbar^2/(m^* \Delta^2) + \epsilon(z_i)$, where $\epsilon(z_i)$ is the energy of the lowest transverse channel at $z_i$. $U_{ij}$ is an effective screened Coulomb interaction. An explicit expression was obtained by starting with a full 3D Coulomb interaction, and integrating over the lowest transverse modes at $z = z_i$ and $z = z_j$. Screening is then added phenomenologically giving the interaction, $U_{ij} = e^2/(4\pi\varepsilon \varepsilon_0 d_{ij}) \exp(-|z_i - z_j|/\rho)$, with $d_{ij} \rightarrow |z_i - z_j|$ for large $d_{ij}$. The dielectric constant is taken as $\varepsilon = 12.5$, appropriate for GaAs.

We emphasize that although the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) was derived for a certain type of quantum wire, it is actually applicable to a much wider class of wires and ‘open dot’ systems, physically different cases merely modifying the effective one-electron energies $\epsilon_i$, the length parameter $d_{ij}$ and the screening length $\rho$. The only restriction is that the deviation from a perfectly straight wire be sufficiently small to ensure validity of the lowest channel approximation.

We now consider the interacting two-electron problem in which one electron is bound in the quantum dot region, with energy $E_0$. The problem considered here is analogous to treating the collision of an electron with a hydrogen atom as, e.g. in Ref. [16]. It should be noted that the existence of a single-electron bound state is guaranteed in one-dimension, at least for symmetric wells in the $z$-direction, and in this sense is a universal feature. With the chosen parameter range, a second electron cannot be bound due to Coulomb repulsion. We solve the two-electron scattering problem exactly subject to the boundary condition that the asymptotic states consist of one bound electron in the ground state and one free electron. From these solutions we compute the conductance using again a Landauer-Büttiker formula which, incorporating the results of spin-dependent scattering [16], takes the following form in zero magnetic field,

\[ G = G_0 \left[ \frac{1}{4} T_s(E) + \frac{3}{4} T_t(E) \right], \]
zero temperature of $T_s(E)$, $T_t(E)$ and $G/G_0$ for a typical wire of width $a_0 = 10$ nm, dot width $(1+\xi)a_0 = 11.1$ nm, dot length $a_t = 50$ nm, and screening lengths of 25 nm, 50 nm, and infinity. Similar results are obtained for thicker wires, up to $a_0 \sim 50$ nm, beyond which the single-channel approximation becomes less reliable as electron correlations become increasingly important. Note that for weak coupling, the energy scale is set by the position of the lowest channel, $\sim 1/a_0^2$, and hence the conductance $G/G_0$ is roughly independent of $a_0$.

The main feature of these results is that there are resonances in both singlet and triplet channels and these represent the corresponding non-interacting result. The incident electron feels the Coulomb potential of the electron bound in the dot region when the Coulomb interaction is switched off. With small Coulomb interaction the resulting triplet is the lowest two-electron excited state and this develops into a resonant bound state with the full Coulomb interaction, with energy at approximately $e_1 + U_1 - J_1$, where $e_1$ is the energy of the second one-electron state with $U_1$ and $J_1$ the respective Coulomb and exchange integrals. The next excited (singlet) resonant bound state is $2J_1$ higher in energy, which is into the first conductance plateau region where it has little effect. We see from Fig. 2 that the singlet resonance is somewhat sharper than the triplet and this is simply because it is lower in energy and closer to a ‘real’ bound state.

The resonances have a strong temperature dependence and, in particular, the sharper singlet resonance is more readily washed out at finite temperatures. This can be seen in Fig. 3 where we have plotted the conductance calculated using a generalized Landauer formula [18,14] at $T = 1, 5$ and $10$ K for wires in which the bulge region is becoming progressively longer and flatter, i.e., approaching a perfectly straight wire. In Fig. 3(a), with the most pronounced deviation from a straight wire, there is only one single-electron bound state and hence only one resonant bound state giving rise to a peak in the conductance with $G \sim 0.3G_0$ at $1$ K, developing into a step at $5$ K and gradually disappearing for $T > 10$ K. This behavior is expected for hard-confined wires, such as those produced in ‘v’-grooves, where smooth fluctuations in thickness of this order would be reasonable and, indeed, similar behavior has been recently observed [11]. In Fig. 3(b) the
Further, our model is consistent with the experimental and for various temperatures, with screening length increased. To the reported step-like features near a perfectly straight wire with constant potential can give rise and show that only a very small deviation from a perfect alignment is again unresolvable but is now swamped by the triplet resonance, which is very close in energy. These results are consistent with experiments on gated quantum wires and show that only a very small deviation from a perfectly straight wire with constant potential can give rise to the reported step-like features near $G = 0.7(2e^2/h)$. Furthermore, our model is consistent with the experimental observations that these step-like anomalies will move towards a plateau at $e^2/h$ as the magnetic field is increased.

Further experimental observations on gated wires show that as the source-drain bias is increased from zero, an anomaly appears at $G \sim 0.25(2e^2/h)$, coexisting with the $0.7(2e^2/h)$ anomaly. This sharpens as the bias is increased and, in the example of [19], for $V_{sd} \sim 6$ mV the 0.25 anomaly is very pronounced whilst the 0.7 anomaly has disappeared. This is also consistent with the above model since under bias the triplet resonant bound-state will eventually disappear because the confining potential in the $z$-direction will only accommodate a single one-electron bound state, giving rise to a singlet resonance only. Furthermore, this resonance will become broader with increasing bias resulting in a more pronounced step, as observed. Whilst the gross features of many of the observed results may be interpreted in terms of this simple model of spin-dependent scattering from a single-bound electron, the observation of anomalies in a wide variety of samples would mitigate against the shallow longitudinal confinement potential as always arising from thickness fluctuations or depletion charge. A further possibility is that a weak potential well arises spontaneously in the Luttinger liquid due to exchange-correlation effects in an otherwise perfect wire [20]. A treatment of this is, however, beyond the scope of the present work.

In conclusion, we have shown that quantum wires with weak longitudinal confinement can give rise to spin-dependent, Coulomb blockade resonances when a single electron is bound in the confined region, a universal effect in one-dimensional systems with very weak longitudinal confinement. The positions of the resulting features at $G \sim \frac{1}{4}G_0$ and $G \sim \frac{3}{4}G_0$ are a consequence of the singlet and triplet nature of the resonances. Further experiments in which the widths of quantum wires and/or the confinement potentials are engineered to control longitudinal confinement should throw further light on the problem of spin-dependent ballistic transport.
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**FIG. 3.** Conductance $G/G_0$ for various shapes of the dot and for various temperatures, with screening length $\rho = 50$nm.
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