Approximate boost of the relativistic two-body problem in instant formalism
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Considering two spinless particles, a simple, approximate boost rule is derived that is sufficient to keep the mass invariant and to relate interactions, vertex functions, wave functions and t-matrices of the instant two-body problem in an arbitrary frame to those in the c.m. frame. The boost generator $\mathbf{K}$ that is used provides a solution to the Poincaré algebra except for the commutator between two components of $\mathbf{K}$, for which it provides an approximate solution.
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The two-body boost problem in the instant form of relativistic quantum mechanics is to constrain the interaction so that the Poincaré generators satisfy the commutation rules that are required for Poincaré invariance. The central issue in boosting an instant form of dynamics was identified by Dirac [1]: the generator of boosts, $\mathbf{K}$, and the Hamiltonian, $H$, both must contain the interaction, $v$. Such a boost is called dynamical and the commutation rule between the dynamical boost generator and Hamiltonian involves $v^2$ terms.

Bakamjian and Thomas [2] showed that the complete commutator algebra for two particles could be satisfied by introducing the interaction in a mass operator, $h = h_0 + v_c$, which is the same as the Hamiltonian in the c.m. frame. The Bakamjian-Thomas Hamiltonian is in an arbitrary frame is $H = \sqrt{\mathbf{P}^2 + \mathbf{P}^2}$, where $\mathbf{P}$ is the total momentum. From this one defines the interaction in an arbitrary frame as $v_{\text{BT}} = H - H_0$, where $H_0$ is the Hamiltonian for two free particles, $H_0 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. Consequently there is a nonlinear relation between the interaction in the c.m. frame and the interaction and kinetic energy terms in another frame.

Foldy and collaborators [3] showed that a linear boost relation for the interaction in any frame could be obtained by working to leading order in an expansion in powers of the ratio of momenta to the mass of a particle. See also Friar. [4,5] Coester, Pieper and Serduke [6] also proposed an approximate boost rule that is linear in $v$.

An alternative that avoids constructing the interaction in other than the c.m. frame is to diagonalize the mass operator and use its eigenvalues in the construction of an irreducible representation of the Poincaré group for each mass eigenvalue. This latter point of view is stressed in the review of relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics by Keister and Polyzou. [7] It produces a kinematical form of boost but of course the mass eigenvalue depends upon the interaction.

In quantum field theory, the boost of a mass eigenstate such as a Bethe-Salpeter vertex function also is kinematical. There is a linear relation between the interactions in different frames that depends upon the Lorentz transformation of momenta and spins. Also in this case the boost implicitly depends upon the interaction through the mass eigenvalue, $M$, which enters the boost velocity $\beta = \mathbf{P} / E_{\mathbf{P}}$ via $E_{\mathbf{P}} = \sqrt{M^2 + \mathbf{P}^2}$.

If the Bethe-Salpeter formalism for two particles is reduced to three-dimensions by integrating out the time-component of relative momentum $\epsilon$, the resulting equal-time (ET) formalism is quite similar to an instant form of relativistic quantum theory. Restricting consideration to positive-energy particles, there is a Hamiltonian of the form $H = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + v$ in an arbitrary frame. When all contributions to $v$ from the reduction of a covariant four-dimensional theory are kept, the Hamiltonian in a frame where total momentum is $\mathbf{P}$ has eigenvalue $E_{\mathbf{P}}$, corresponding to a fixed value of mass $M$. However when some contributions to the three-dimensional interaction are neglected, the eigenvalue fails to correspond to a fixed value of mass $M$. This motivates the study of sufficient conditions on the instant interaction to guarantee that the mass is fixed.

In this paper, we show that use of an approximate boost generator is sufficient to derive a simple boost rule for the interaction, $v$, such that the instant two-body problem has eigenvalue $E_{\mathbf{P}}$ corresponding to a fixed value of mass. The boost generator satisfies all but one of the required commutator relations. The analysis provides very simple and direct relationships of vertex functions, wave functions and t-matrices of the two-body problem in different frames. The results are applicable to calculations of matrix elements of an external current, for example form factor calculations based upon the ET formalism, where the initial state or final state, or both, must have nonzero total momentum.

The basic requirement of Poincaré invariance is that states must transform under a unitary representation of the Poincaré group. The ten generators for translations in time, translations in space, boosts and rotations are the Hamiltonian operator $H$, the operator for total momentum $\mathbf{P}$, the boost operator $\mathbf{K}$ and the angular momentum operator $\mathbf{J}$. They obey well-known commutation relations (see Ref. [8], for example). When there is no interaction, all the required commutation relations may be satisfied by taking each generator to be a sum of single particle generators. The interaction must be translationally and rotationally invariant and must sat-
isfy additional nontrivial constraints from the commutation relations

\[ [K_i, P_j] = iH \delta_{ij}, \quad (1) \]

\[ [K, H] = i\mathbf{P}, \quad (2) \]

\[ [K_i, K_j] = -i\varepsilon_{ijk}J_k. \quad (3) \]

Standard rules of quantum mechanics apply, i.e., \([\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}] = i\hbar \delta_{jk}, \) where \(\hbar = 1.\)

In the instant form of dynamics, total momentum and angular momentum operators are, for spinless particles, \(\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2\) and \(\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{p}_2.\) For the case of two spinless particles with equal masses, the hamiltonian for instant dynamics is

\[ H = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + v = H_0 + v, \quad (4) \]

where \(\epsilon_i\) is the kinetic energy of the \(i\)th particle,

\[ \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1(p; \mathbf{P}) \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{p}\right)^2}, \]

\[ \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_2(p; \mathbf{P}) \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{p}\right)^2}. \quad (5) \]

and \(\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2).\)

Bakamjian and Thomas derived the form of the boost operator. For a free boost, the operator is

\[ \mathbf{K}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_1 \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_1 \mathbf{r}_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_2 \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2 \mathbf{r}_2). \quad (6) \]

When the interaction \(v\) is present in the hamiltonian, there is an interaction part of the boost operator that is given approximately by

\[ \mathbf{K}_v = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{R}v + v\mathbf{R}), \quad (7) \]

where \(\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2).\) With these definitions, Eq. (1) is satisfied. However, there is an error term of order \(1/m^2\) in the commutator in Eq. (1). Thus, the boost generator \(\mathbf{K}\) is approximate. Accepting this error, the interaction \(v\) must take an appropriate, but unknown, form consistent with Eq. (1).

Noting that the free-boost operator, \(\mathbf{K}_0,\) and free hamiltonian \(H_0,\) obey Eq. (1), the interaction-dependent terms in that commutation relation must sum to zero, i.e.,

\[ [\mathbf{K}_0, v] + [\mathbf{K}_v, H_0] + [\mathbf{K}_v, v] = 0. \quad (8) \]

This relation provides a constraint on the form of the interaction. It is equivalent to, after some algebraic manipulations,

\[ [\mathbf{R}, H_0]v + v[\mathbf{R}, H_0] + \frac{1}{2}H[\mathbf{R}, v] + \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{R}, v]H + \frac{1}{2}\Delta rv - \frac{1}{2}vr\Delta = 0, \quad (9) \]

where

\[ \Delta \equiv \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \quad (10) \]

and \(r \equiv r_1 - r_2.\)

Our goal is to determine \(H\) such that its eigenvalue is

\[ H|E_P\rangle = E_P|E_P\rangle, \quad (11) \]

which corresponds to an eigenstate of mass \(M.\) We find that it is sufficient to consider a diagonal matrix element of Eq. (1) in the state \(|E_P\rangle,\) with \(H\) replaced by its eigenvalue \(E_P,\) as follows.

\[ \langle E_P|\left([\mathbf{R}, H_0]v + v[\mathbf{R}, H_0] + E_P[\mathbf{R}, v] + \frac{1}{2}\Delta rv - \frac{1}{2}vr\Delta\right)|E_P\rangle = 0. \quad (12) \]

The \(v^2\) terms in the third and fourth terms of Eq. (1) are eliminated in favor of the energy eigenvalue \(E_P.\)

Some additional manipulations are needed to produce a solvable equation for the interaction in which the boost velocity \(\mathbf{P}/E_P\) appears. In the last two terms involving \(\Delta,\) \(H/E_P\) is inserted to the left of \(\Delta v\) and to the right of \(vr\Delta.\) Using \(H_0\Delta = 2\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{p},\) noting that \([\mathbf{r}, \Delta] = 2[\mathbf{R}, H_0]\) and using \(v[\mathbf{R}, H_0]v = \frac{1}{2}H - H_0,\) we arrive at the following equation.

\[ \langle E_P|\left(1 + \frac{H_0}{E_P}\right)\frac{[\mathbf{R}, H_0]}{2E_P}v + v\frac{[\mathbf{R}, H_0]}{2E_P}\left(1 + \frac{H_0}{E_P}\right) + \left[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}v - vr\mathbf{P}\right]ight)|E_P\rangle = 0. \quad (13) \]

This equation is linear in \(v\) and it may be solved in momentum space in order to determine the required form of the interaction.

Momentum space matrix elements involve

\[ \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \langle E_P| \mathcal{B}(p', p; \mathbf{P})|E_P\rangle = 0, \quad (14) \]

where

\[ \mathcal{B}(p', p; \mathbf{P}) = [\mathcal{C}(p', \mathbf{P}) + \mathcal{C}(p; \mathbf{P}) + D_{op} v(p', p; \mathbf{P})], \quad (15) \]

and

\[ \mathcal{C}(p; \mathbf{P}) = \left(1 + \frac{d}{E_P}\right) \frac{1}{2E_P} \frac{\partial d}{\partial \mathbf{P}}, \quad (16) \]

with \(d \equiv \epsilon_1(p; \mathbf{P}) + \epsilon_2(p; \mathbf{P})\) being the value of \(H_0\) and
Thus, the term in Eq. (19) that involves $\tilde{v}$ leading to momentum are unaffected:

$$L \text{ Lorentz contracted along the direction of } P$$

upon three rotational scalars: $\tilde{p}$, $p$, and $\tilde{p}$. The com-ponents of relative momentum is simply $\tilde{p} \cdot c = p \cdot c / \gamma$, where $\gamma = E_p / M$. Thus, the relative momentum is Lorentz contracted along the direction of $P$. The components of relative momenta perpendicular to the total momentum are unaffected: $p_{c \perp} = p \perp$. The same rule applies to $p'$. It follows that $p_c^2 = p^2 - (p \cdot P)^2 / E_p^2$, and similarly for $p'_c$.

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$D_{op} p^2_{c} = 0, \quad D_{op} p^{2'}_{c} = 0, \quad D_{op} p \cdot p' = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

It follows by the chain rule of differentiation that

$$D_{op} v_c(p'_c, p_c) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Thus, the term in Eq. (19) that involves $\tilde{v}$ vanishes identically when $\tilde{v}$ is identified as the c.m. frame interaction evaluated with the relative momenta of Eqs. (20) and (21). It follows that the remaining two parts of Eq. (19) that involve functions of $p$ and $p'$ must vanish separately. Their sum equals zero and they depend upon independent arguments. Therefore, the function $f(p; P)$ must be a solution of the partial differential equation in two variables

$$C(p; P) f(p; P) + D_{op} f(p; P) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Instead of solving this equation directly, it is simpler to deduce the solution from a consistency argument. We consider the integral equation for a bound-state vertex function in an arbitrary frame, and the corresponding equation in the c.m. frame, in order to deduce how $f$ should depend upon the momenta.

Using Eqs. (18) and (23), the bound state vertex in an arbitrary frame obeys the integral equation

$$\Gamma(p'\!, P) = \frac{1}{E_p - \epsilon_1(p; P) - \epsilon_2(p; P)} \Gamma(p; P).$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

By inspection, it is necessary that the solution should have the form

$$\Gamma(p'\!, P) = f(p'\!, P) \Gamma_c(p'_c).$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Moreover, $\Gamma_c$ should satisfy the corresponding equation in the c.m. frame,

$$\Gamma_c(p'_c) = \int \frac{d^3 p_c}{(2\pi)^3} v_c(p'_c, p_c) \frac{1}{E_d - \epsilon_c(p'_c, p_c)} \Gamma_c(p'_c),$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

where $\epsilon_c(p'_c) \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + p'^2}$. Noting that $d^3 p = (E_p / M) d^3 p_c$, this will be true if

$$f^2(p; P) = \frac{M}{E_p} \left( \frac{E_p - \epsilon_1(p; P) - \epsilon_2(p; P)}{M - 2\epsilon_c(p'_c)} \right) \frac{1}{1 - \Delta^2 / E_p^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

The second form follows after some algebra and it shows that there is no possibility of a singularity because $|\Delta| / E_p = |2 p \cdot P| / (|\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2| E_p) < 1$. For relative momenta $|p| < m$ and $|p'| < m$, $|\Delta| / E_p < 1$ and $f \approx M / E_p$.

Consistency requires that the $f(p; P)$ of Eq. (29) should satisfy the partial differential equation (25). This may be verified straightforwardly by rewriting (25) in terms of $f^2$, and noting Eq. (23). It completes the proof that Eq. (13) is satisfied exactly.

For the two-body problem, the procedure noted above is sufficient to provide the following interesting result. If an arbitrary, rotationally and translationally invariant
interaction in the c.m. frame of the form $v_c(p'_c, p_c)$ defines the mass eigenvalue $M$ by solution of the c.m. frame equation,

$$[M - 2\epsilon_c(p'_c)]\Psi_c(p'_c) = \int \frac{d^3p_c}{(2\pi)^3} v_c(p'_c, p_c)\Psi_c(p_c),$$

(30)

then in another frame an instant-form equation corresponding to the same mass eigenvalue is

$$[E_P - \epsilon_1(p'; P) - \epsilon_2(p'; P)]\psi(p'; P) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} v(p', p; P)\psi(p; P)$$

(31)

where

$$v(p', p; P) = f(p'; P)v_c(p'_c, p_c)f(p; P)$$

(32)

and the momenta $p_c$ and $p'_c$ in Eq. (22) are defined in terms of total momentum $P$ and relative momentum $p$ in the arbitrary frame as in Eqs. (24) and (21), while $f(p; P)$ is defined as in Eq. (29).

Reference [7] discusses an approximate boost rule that is similar to the one given here. If the mass eigenvalue $M$ and energy $E_P$ are replaced by $2\epsilon_c$ and $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$, respectively, then the c.m. momentum of Eq. (24) becomes the c.m. momentum $k$ of Coester et al. [11]. This is the no-interaction limit. The $f$ factors in the interaction are somewhat different from those of Ref. [7] in the same limit. The differences may be small but the interaction of Ref. [7] does not provide an exactly constant mass eigenvalue.

The vertex function in the c.m. frame, $\Gamma_c(p'_c)$ of Eq. (28), is related to the vertex function in an arbitrary frame, $\Gamma(p'; P)$, as in Eq. (23). The wave function in the c.m. frame, $\Psi_c(p_c) = [M - 2\epsilon_c(p_c)]^{-1}\Gamma_c(p_c)$ and the wave function in an arbitrary frame, $\Psi(p; P) = [E_P - \epsilon_1(p; P) - \epsilon_2(p; P)]^{-1}\Gamma(p; P)$, are related by

$$\Psi(p; P) = f(p; P) \left( \frac{M - 2\epsilon_c(p_c)}{E_P - \epsilon_1(p; P) - \epsilon_2(p; P)} \right) \Psi_c(p_c),$$

$$= \frac{M}{E_P f(p; P)} \Psi_c(p_c).$$

(33)

The wave function in an arbitrary frame is a factor times the c.m. frame wave function evaluated at the appropriate arguments. This is a simple form of unitary transformation that guarantees the preservation of the normalization in the following sense, using $d^3p_c/d^3p = d^3pM/E_P$,

$$\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left| \frac{E_P}{M} f(p; P)\Psi(p; P) \right|^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |\Psi_c(p_c)|^2.$$

(34)

Finally, the two-body $t$-matrix in the c.m. frame transforms in the same way as the interaction.

$$t(p'; P) = f(p'; P)t_c(p'_c, p_c)f(p; P),$$

(35)

where $t_c$ is the c.m. frame $t$-matrix. This follows because the integral in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation for the $t$-matrix is the same as in Eq. (24). When the boost relation of Eq. (23) is used, with $f(p; P)$ as in Eq. (29), one finds the Lippmann-Schwinger equation for the $t$-matrix in the c.m. frame, which involves an integral as in Eq. (28).

Although the boost rule is kinematical, it depends on the interaction through the eigenvalue. It is applicable to form factor calculations in the two-body problem, for example, in the ET formalism. Inclusion of spin will be important to applications. In the ET formalism, the interaction involves a matrix element between plane-wave Dirac spinors, which should provide a useful starting point for inclusion of spin degrees of freedom.

In conclusion, an approximate, simple boost rule is determined that is sufficient to keep the mass eigenvalue constant in the instant two-body problem for spinless particles. Kinematical relations are given between interactions, vertex functions, wave functions and $t$-matrices in an arbitrary frame and those in the c.m. frame.
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