

On the new superfluid state - III

George Kastrinakis*

Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser (IESL),

Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas (FORTH), P.O. Box 1527, Iraklio, Crete 71110, Greece

(Dated: July 26, 2010)

In two previous reports, we introduced a new fermionic variational wavefunction, suitable for interacting multi-species systems and sustaining superfluidity. This disentangled wavefunction contains a new quantum index of "ghostly" character, which does not appear in any observable quantity. Here we introduce a general spin triplet version of this wavefunction.

In two previous reports [1, 2], we introduced a new variational wavefunction, suitable for interacting multi-species systems and sustaining superfluidity. This a generalization of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) wavefunction [3] $|\Psi_{\text{BCS}}\rangle = \prod_k (u_k + v_k c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-k,\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle$. The creation/annihilation operators $c_{k,\sigma}^\dagger/c_{k,\sigma}$ describe fermions with momentum k and spin σ , and $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state.

We introduce a spin triplet version of this wavefunction, which is a generalization of the Balian-Werthamer state [4], including all three components of the total spin. In [2] we introduced the "equal spin pairing" (ESP) case with parallel pair spins only.

Let the usual fermionic operators be c_x^\dagger/c_x with $x = \{i, k, \sigma\}$, where i denotes the fermion species/ flavor.

We introduce a new quantum index, which is related to the internal symmetry of the quantum state. It serves to enumerate the otherwise "entangled" components of the quantum state as a function of both momentum and spin. In this way, the treatment of the coherence factors (coefficients entering the wavefunction and then everywhere else in the theory) is greatly facilitated. Thereby we introduce the *new fermionic operators* $c_{x,\mu}^\dagger/c_{x,\mu}$ obeying the anticommutators ($\{a, b\} = ab + ba$)

$$\{c_{x,\mu}, c_{y,\nu}\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{c_{x,\mu}, c_{y,\nu}^\dagger\} = \delta_{xy} \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad . \quad (1)$$

Then, we write the usual c_x^\dagger/c_x as the superposition

$$c_x^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_o}} \sum_{\delta=1}^{N_o} c_{x,\delta}^\dagger \quad , \quad c_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_o}} \sum_{\delta=1}^{N_o} c_{x,\delta} \quad . \quad (2)$$

The usual anticommutation relations of c_x^\dagger/c_x are preserved, while

$$\{c_x, c_{y,\nu}\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{c_x, c_{y,\nu}^\dagger\} = \frac{\delta_{xy}}{\sqrt{N_o}} \quad . \quad (3)$$

We also introduce

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,k,\delta}^\dagger = & u_{i,k} + v_{i,k} (c_{i,k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{i,-k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger + c_{i,k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{i,-k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger) + w_{i,k,\uparrow} c_{i,k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{i,-k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger + w_{i,k,\downarrow} c_{i,k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{i,-k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger \\ & + s_{i,k} (c_{i,k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,-k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger + c_{i,k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,-k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger + c_{i,-k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger + c_{i,-k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger) \\ & + t_{i,k,\uparrow} (c_{i,k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,-k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger + c_{i,-k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,k,\uparrow,\delta}^\dagger) + t_{i,k,\downarrow} (c_{i,k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,-k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger + c_{i,-k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger c_{j,k,\downarrow,\delta}^\dagger) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$C_{i,k,\delta}^\dagger$ is a bosonic operator, creating spin triplet pairs of fermions (for singlet pairs c.f. [1]), and $(i, j) = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. Other variants of $C_{i,k,\delta}^\dagger$ can be envisaged as well.

Henceforth we divide the momentum space into two parts, say $k > 0$ ($\text{sgn}(k) = +$) and $k < 0$ ($\text{sgn}(k) = -$). For $k > 0$ we form the following multiplet of $C_{i,k,\delta}^\dagger$'s

$$M_k^\dagger = C_{1,k,\delta=1}^\dagger C_{2,k,\delta=2}^\dagger \quad . \quad (5)$$

This multiplet creates all states with momenta $\pm k$, and we take $N_o = 2$ (c.f. [1, 2] also).

The new index allows for the bookkeeping of a superposition of states of a given particle, i.e. same $x = \{i, k, \sigma\}$, without the difficulties due to entanglement within the multiplet M_k^\dagger , if the index were removed. In that case, the treatment of the coherence factors u, v, w, s, t is prohibitively complicated.

This index is "ghostly", i.e. it appears only within the state $|\Psi\rangle$ below. It does not appear in any observable quantity! (C.f. the Faddeev-Popov ghosts in gauge field theories, where the ghosts disappear from the final physical outcome.) We note that there is **no change** whatsoever implied in the Hamiltonian or in the representation of any observable.

Now we introduce the dis-entangled state

$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{k>0} M_k^\dagger |0\rangle . \quad (6)$$

Note that *all* $C_{i,k,\delta}^\dagger$'s in $|\Psi\rangle$ commute with each other.

$|\Psi\rangle$ generalizes $|\Psi_{\text{BCS}}\rangle$ and sustains superfluidity. This wavefunction makes sense for two or more fermion species, with an interaction between different species. It can obviously be generalized for three or more fermion species. Moreover, a similar wavefunction using the new quantum index can be written in the real space representation instead of the momentum space one. At the moment, it is not possible to say how close $|\Psi\rangle$ is to experimental reality. It does represent a very promising avenue though, as can be seen from the discussion which follows.

It allows for inequivalence between spin up and down fermions. Plus, it allows for the "exact" variational treatment of a wider class of Hamiltonians than sheer BCS type, e.g. comprising interaction and hybridization between different fermion species, in the well known manner of the BCS-Gorkov theory [3],[5].

The normalization $\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle = 1$ implies

$$|u_{i,k}|^2 + 2|v_{i,k}|^2 + |w_{i,k,\uparrow}|^2 + |w_{i,k,\downarrow}|^2 + 4|s_{i,k}|^2 + 2|t_{i,k,\uparrow}|^2 + 2|t_{i,k,\downarrow}|^2 = 1 . \quad (7)$$

Fermion statistics yields $v_{i,-k} = -v_{i,k}$ and $w_{i,-k,\sigma} = -w_{i,k,\sigma}$.

Two fermion species at zero temperature. Calculations are straightforward for the matrix elements derived from $|\Psi\rangle$. E.g. for 2 fermion species with dispersions $\epsilon_{i,k,\sigma} = \epsilon_{i,-k,\sigma}$ and for $k > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{N_o} c_{1,k,\uparrow} M_k^\dagger |0\rangle &= (v_{1,k} c_{1,-k,\downarrow,\delta=1}^\dagger + w_{1,k,\uparrow} c_{1,-k,\uparrow,\delta=1}^\dagger + s_{1,k} c_{2,-k,\downarrow,\delta=1}^\dagger + t_{1,k,\uparrow} c_{2,-k,\uparrow,\delta=1}^\dagger) C_{2,k,\delta=2}^\dagger |0\rangle \\ &\quad - (s_{2,k} c_{2,-k,\downarrow,\delta=2}^\dagger + t_{2,k,\uparrow} c_{2,-k,\downarrow,\delta=2}^\dagger) C_{1,k,\delta=1}^\dagger |0\rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then

$$\langle 0| M_k c_{1,k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1,k,\uparrow} M_k^\dagger |0\rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} (|v_{1,k}|^2 + |w_{1,k,\uparrow}|^2 + |s_{1,k}|^2 + |t_{1,k,\uparrow}|^2 + |s_{2,k}|^2 + |t_{2,k,\uparrow}|^2) . \quad (9)$$

Likewise,

$$\langle 0| M_k c_{2,k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1,k,\uparrow} M_k^\dagger |0\rangle = -\frac{1}{N_o} (t_{1,k,\uparrow}^* w_{1,k,\uparrow} + t_{2,k,\uparrow} w_{2,k,\uparrow}^* + v_{1,k} s_{1,k}^* + v_{2,k}^* s_{2,k}) . \quad (10)$$

and

$$\langle 0| M_k c_{2,-k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1,k,\uparrow} M_k^\dagger |0\rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} (u_{1,k}^* t_{1,k,\uparrow} - u_{2,k}^* t_{2,k,\uparrow}) . \quad (11)$$

Using the commutativity of $C_{i,k,\delta}^\dagger$'s and generalizing the previous equations, we obtain ($\langle B \rangle = \langle\Psi|B|\Psi\rangle$)

$$n_{i,k,\sigma} = \langle c_{i,k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,k,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} (|v_{i,k}|^2 + |w_{i,k,\sigma}|^2 + |s_{i,k}|^2 + |t_{i,k,\sigma}|^2 + |s_{j,k}|^2 + |t_{j,k,\sigma}|^2) , \quad (12)$$

$$\zeta_{k,\sigma} = \langle c_{i,k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,k,\sigma} \rangle = -\frac{1}{N_o} (w_{i,k,\sigma}^* t_{j,k,\sigma} + w_{j,k,\sigma} t_{i,k,\sigma}^* + v_{i,k}^* s_{i,k} + v_{j,k} s_{j,k}^*) , \quad (13)$$

$$\gamma_{k,\sigma} = \langle c_{j,-k,\sigma} c_{i,k,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} (u_{i,k}^* t_{i,k,\sigma} - u_{j,k}^* t_{j,k,\sigma}) , \quad (14)$$

$$g_{k,\sigma} = \langle c_{2,-k,-\sigma} c_{1,k,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} (u_{1,k}^* s_{1,k} - u_{2,k}^* s_{2,k}) , \quad (15)$$

$$b_{i,k,\sigma} = \langle c_{i,-k,-\sigma} c_{i,k,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} u_{i,k}^* v_{i,k} , \quad d_{i,k,\sigma} = \langle c_{i,-k,\sigma} c_{i,k,\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{N_o} u_{i,k}^* w_{i,k,\sigma} , \quad (16)$$

with $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 1)$.

A general Hamiltonian for two fermion species interacting via intra-species potentials $V_{1,2}$ and via an inter-species potential F_q , and hybridizing via h_k , is

$$H = \sum_{i,k,\sigma} \xi_{i,k,\sigma} c_{i,k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,k,\sigma} + \sum_{k,\sigma} h_k \left(c_{1,k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{2,k,\sigma} + c_{2,k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{1,k,\sigma} \right) \quad (17)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,p,q,\sigma,\sigma'} V_{i,q} c_{i,k+q,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,p-q,\sigma'}^\dagger c_{i,p,\sigma'} c_{i,k,\sigma} + \sum_{k,p,q,\sigma,\sigma'} F_q c_{1,k+q,\sigma}^\dagger c_{2,p-q,\sigma'}^\dagger c_{2,p,\sigma'} c_{1,k,\sigma} ,$$

with $i = 1, 2$, $\xi_{i,k,\sigma} = \epsilon_{i,k,\sigma} - \mu_{i,\sigma}$ and $\mu_{i,\sigma}$ the chemical potential.

Considering Ψ above, we have for $\langle H \rangle = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle$,

$$\langle H \rangle = \sum_{i,k,\sigma} \xi_{i,k,\sigma} n_{i,k,\sigma} + \sum_{k,\sigma} h_k (\zeta_{k,\sigma} + \zeta_{k,\sigma}^*) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,p,\sigma} V_{i,k-p} n_{i,k,\sigma} n_{i,p,\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,p,\sigma} V_{i,q=0} n_{i,k,\sigma} n_{i,p,\sigma} \quad (18)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,p,\sigma} V_{i,k-p} (b_{i,k,\sigma} b_{i,p,\sigma}^* + d_{i,k,\sigma}^* d_{i,p,\sigma}) - \sum_{k,p,\sigma} F_{k-p} \zeta_{k,\sigma} \zeta_{p,\sigma}^* + F_{q=0} \sum_{k,p,\sigma} n_{1,k,\sigma} n_{2,p,\sigma}$$

$$+ \sum_{k,p,\sigma} F_{k-p} (\gamma_{k,\sigma} \gamma_{p,\sigma}^* + g_{k,\sigma} g_{p,\sigma}^*) ,$$

with $(i, j) = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. The first term in the second line is exactly the usual BCS-like pairing term, and the last term is the equivalent inter-species pairing term due to F_q . Allowing for pairs with non-zero total momentum in $|\Psi\rangle$, as shown in [1], yields additional terms in $\langle H \rangle$.

The minimization procedure for $\langle H \rangle$ and the finite temperature extension proceed as shown in [1]. In general, expanding the Hilbert space of $|\Psi\rangle$ via the inclusion of more pairing correlations than the ones shown, may lead to further reduction of the ground state energy.

* E-mail address : kast@iesl.forth.gr , giwkast@gmail.com

-
- [1] G. Kastinakis, arXiv:0901.2487v9 .
 [2] G. Kastinakis, arXiv:1007.0745 .
 [3] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957).
 [4] R. Balian and N. R. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. **131**, 1553 (1963).
 [5] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics, Prentice-Hall (Cliffwoods, NY, 1964).